Handbook on Teaching Empirical Software Engineering

Survey Research in Software Engineering

Authors

Marcos Kalinowski (Pontifical Catholic University of Rio de Janeiro) Allysson Allex Araújo (Federal University of Cariri) Daniel Mendez (Blekinge Institute of Technology, fortiss)

This tutorial is based on...

• Previous editions given together with



Marco Torchiano Politecnico di Torino





Guilherme H. Travassos COPPE, University of Rio de Janeiro

Rafael M. de Mello PUC Rio de Janeiro

• Experiences made in large survey research projects, e.g.





Introduction - Who are you?

Quick round...

- Who are you?
- What are your experiences in conducting survey research?
- Are you currently facing any particular challenges?
- What are your expectations?



What do you think?

Why do we need survey research in software engineering?

This session will be about

Scope

- Brief introduction into survey research and epistemological setting
- Experiences and lessons learnt (Best Practices)
- Discussion / Hands-on session

Out of scope:

- Statistics
- (In-depth) Fundamentals
- Statistics (this is definitively not about statistics)

Ground rule

Whenever you have questions / remarks, don't ask Google , but share them with the whole group.

Outline



- A brief introduction into survey research 40'-60'
- (Selected) Best practices
- Lean coffee

40'-60'

0' - 40'

Outline

- A brief introduction into survey research
- (Selected) Best practices
- Lean coffee

What is survey research?

Systematic observational method to gather qualitative and/or quantitative data from (a sample of) entities to characterise information, attitudes and/or behaviours from different groups of subjects regarding an object of study

- » Observational data with limited control
- » Descriptive and analytic statistics

Observational studies







Surveys (Crosssectional) Case Studies Experiments (Casecontrol)



Setting: Empirical Software Engineering



Fundamental theories

Analogy: Theoretical and Experimental Physics

Setting: Empirical Software Engineering



A very quick step into the philosophy of science ... and back

Theories and hypotheses



Scientific theory

 "[...] based on hypotheses tested and verified multiple times by detached researchers" (J. Bortz and N. Döring, 2003)

Hypothesis

• "[...] a statement that proposes a possible explanation to some phenomenon or event" (L. Given, 2008)

By the way We don't "test theories", but their

consequences (via hypotheses)

- Grounded in theory, testable and falsifiable
- Often quantified and written as a conditional statement

If cause/assumption (independent variables) then (=>)consequence (dependent variables)

From real world to theories... and back Principles, concepts, terms



(Empirical) methods

• Each method...

- …has a specific purpose
- ...relies on a specific data type

Purposes

- Exploratory
- Descriptive
- Explanatory
- Improving

Data Types

- Qualitative
- Quantitative



Example!

(Empirical) methods - where do they belong?



Survey research in a nutshell

Surveys

- allow for observational studies
- can have different purposes
- rely on both qualitative and qualitative data
- can employ different data analysis methods
- are (often) used in combination with multiple empirical methods ("research programmes")

A very simplified process for survey research

* And some examples based

on



Defining Research Objectives

Characterising Target Population

Sampling

Questionnaire Design

Recruiting & Measuring

Survey Execution

Data Coding & Editing

Post-survey Adjustments

Data Analysis & Interpretation

Packaging & Reporting

Data Curation & Disclosure

Reporting

Defining Research Objectives

Characterising Target Population

Sampling

Questionnaire Design

Recruiting & Measuring

Survey

Execution

Data Coding & Editing

Post-survey Adjustments

Data Analysis & Interpretation

Packaging & Reporting

Data Curation & Disclosure

Reporting

Defining Research Objectives

Characterising Target Population

Sampling

Questionnaire Design

Recruiting & Measuring

Survey

Execution

Data Coding & Editing

Post-survey Adjustments

Data Analysis & Interpretation

Packaging & Reporting

Data Curation & Disclosure

Reporting

- Scoping overall endeavour via objectives and (research) questions
- Basis for:
 - target population
 - questionnaire design

Objective



Understand what problems practitioners experience in their Requirements Engineering.

Research

Questions

- (1) Which contemporary problems exist in RE?
- (2) What are observable patterns of problems and context characteristics?
- (3) What are their perceived causes and effects?

Defining Research Objectives

Characterising Target Population

Sampling

Questionnaire Design

Recruiting & Measuring

Survey

Execution

Data Coding & Editing

Post-survey Adjustments

Data Analysis & Interpretation

Packaging & Reporting

Data Curation & Disclosure

Reporting

 Target population: abstract definition of set of units to be studied

Example!

- Frame population: All units in the (envisioned) sampling frame
- Sample: Actual set of (eligible) future respondents

Target Population

Practitioners working with requirements:

- Requirements Engineers
- Software Architects

•

in domain X and region Y

Frame Population

 Requirements Engineers in domain X and region Y, registered in association Z

' ... Samr

Sample

• [Role] working with requirements for [x] years

. - -

Survey Planning Defining Research Objectives Characterising Target Population Sampling Questionnaire Design		 Design of questionnaire used to answer the research questions Implementation / Realisation 					
Re Parts Demographics	No. Question Q 1 What is the size of your company? 400193 NaPiRE 2017/18 + Page	Type Closed(SC)		Pres Participants can t	ake part. (
D	🖻 🗵 🕨 🔊 🍽 Survey language:	: "English"		Search	٩		
	TITLE		ID	INFO	ACTIONS		
Po	Language Selection		2420013	:	+ • •		
FU	111 Language Selection		4423789	1 V	0 🗎 î		
	Instructions		2401449		+ 🛛 🗎 î		
Data Analysis	998 Start Page and Consent		4381586		011		
	Metadata		2401450	1	+ © 📑		
	131 Country Selection		4381885	1 V	• 1		
Packaging	141 Team Size		4381710	1 V	011		
r dokuging	111 Sector		4381702	1 V	0 [] 1		
Data Curatio	111 System Class	4381714	* V	• l î			
Data Curatic	121 Quality Attributes		4381722	V	0 0 1		
	111 Team Distribution		4381731	• V	000		
Rep	Main Role Respondent		4381764	: V			
	141 Experience Respondent		4381823	: V	OPE		
	141 Certification Hole Respondent		4381849	V			



Review

(them

AW.

Project Completed

Project Failed



Time overrun

Decreased all Misundersia Decreased Ineffic

nercation of eveney

Biocomplete and / or hidden regulations is
 Biocomplete and / or

plete and / or hidden requirements

Communication Reas between us and the custo

RAW DATA															
		Top 5 Problems in	RE			Mani	festation in Pr	rocess			Relation	to Project Faile	ure		
Considering your p	Considering your	Considering your pe	Considering your pers	Considering your pe	Considering	Considering	· Considering	· Considering	· Considering	Considering your pe	r: Considerin	g · Considering	Considering	· Considerin	nę
Problem #1	Problem #2	Problem #3	Problem #4	Problem #5	Problem #1	Problem #2	Problem #3	Problem #4	Problem #5	Problem #1	Problem #2	Problem #3	Problem #4	Problem #	5
Missing traceability	Unclear non-function	Inconsistent reqs.			overview lost t	not enough sur	often detected	-66	-66	not quoted	quoted	not quoted	-7'	i .	7
Incomplete / hidden re	eqs.				Have to change	-66	-66	-66	-66	5 not quoted	-7	7 -7	7 -7	1 -	.7
Communication flaws	Communication flav	wInconsistent reqs.	Incomplete / hidden reqs.	Unclear non-functional	the communica	no time, much	requirements v	no requiremen	most requirem	quoted	quoted	quoted	quoted	quoted	
Incomplete / hidden n	Time boxing	Volatile domain	Moving targets	Separation reqs. from I	feedback while	request for cha	Number of cha	Number of cha	recognizing in	quoted	quoted	not quoted	not quoted	not quoted	
Moving targets	Time boxing				as system chan	Development of	-66	-66	-66	quoted	quoted	-71	1 -71	1 .	,7
Underspecified reqs.	Terminological prol	b Unclear responsibilities	Communication flaws to	Communication flaws	Agreed design	Overlenghty p	agreed upon de	repeated meeti	repeated work	quoted	quoted	not quoted	not quoted	not quoted	
Communication flaws	Communication flav	ws in team			-99	-99	-66	-66	-66	guoted	not quoted	-7	7 -7'	1 -	.7
Incomplete / hidden re	Inconsistent reqs.	Time boxing	Technically unfeasible re	qs.	-99	-99	-99	-99	-66	quoted	quoted	not quoted	not quoted	1	.7
Communication flaws	Incomplete / hidden	Inconsistent reqs.	Technically unfeasible re	qs.	Sending docun	wrong implem	wrong implem	wasted money	-66	quoted	not quoted	not quoted	quoted		.7
Moving targets	Time boxing	Underspecified reqs.	Unclear non-functional re	Inconsistent reqs.	leads to change	the timepoint of	leads to change	customers ofte	often detected	quoted	not quoted	not quoted	not quoted	not quoted	
Incomplete / hidden re	Missing traceability	Moving targets	Gold plating	Inconsistent regs.	Discussion in (Increasing Sys	Discussion; Ci	unfair treatmen	Discussion,	quoted	not quoted	not quoted	not quoted	quoted	
Moving targets	Separation reqs. fro	r High degree of innovati	Time boxing	Inconsistent reqs.	features that di	sticking to what	prototypes for	no time for cus	don't have a go	quoted	not quoted	not quoted	quoted	not quoted	
Incomplete / hidden re	Unclear responsibil	i High degree of innovati	on vs. need for formal acc	ceptance	surprise of bus	nothing is don	-99	-66	-66	quoted	not quoted	not quoted	-7	1 .	.7
Underspecified reqs.	Incomplete / hidden	Time boxing	Insufficient support by cu	Weak relationship to c	leads to many	gaps come up	requirements a	customers are	customer dom	not quoted	quoted	not quoted	quoted	not quoted	
Communication flaws	Weak access to cust	Underspecified reqs.	Incomplete / hidden reqs	Unclear responsibilitie	Clarification o	If no direct con	A common situ	This is quite n	-99	not quoted	quoted	quoted	not quoted	not quoted	
Coldelatine			A CONTRACTOR OF		an antestable a		66	66	44	here mare	1010101712	7	2	1	-

前前有草草的 医白豆花

REFERENCE

Lank of experience of RE team members
 Lank of experience
 Lank
 Lank of e

Indepropriate definition of contract Lack of creativity Lack of interst about customers domain Lack of interst about customers Lack of beamwork skills "gement Lack of beamwork skills" Oversized portfolio planning Pressure to not exceed priminally defined resources Requirements engineer has no influence on customer feam fluctuation

DE



Questions?

Outline

- A brief introduction into survey research
- (Selected) Best practices
- Lean coffee

Example - What could be wrong here?

Dear Dr. Fernández,

We are conducting a survey to evaluate an updated standard model that will be used to This survey will help to ensure the suitability and validity of this model and ensure its usefulness in meeting a specific class of problems in the analysis of multi-agent systems. Also, it could point to some important missing details and weak points in the updated model structure.

We are inviting you to participate in this research study. You will be asked to go through the model sections using a few real scenarios and then take a survey to answer a few questions regarding your experience using the updated model. On this email, you will find attached the updated model file.

This survey has no risk to participants, and it will not require any personal information. It will be only known by my advisor and me. Your participation is voluntary, and you are not likely to have any direct benefit from being in this research study. The expected time to complete the entire task is 20 minutes.

The following is the online survey that needs your participation:

Thank you for participating.	
-	
Best Regards	
le la constance de la constance	📏 Who are
PDF	17 pages attachment tcthey?
SRS Standards for MAS.pdf	20 minutes to answer the

CIIN/D/



Disclaimer (Bavarian edition)



Defining Research Objectives

Characterising Target Population

Sampling

Questionnaire Design

Recruiting & Measuring

Survey

Execution

Data Coding & Editing

Post-survey Adjustments

Data Analysis & Interpretation

Packaging & Reporting

Data Curation & Disclosure

Reporting

• There are too many pitfalls to be handled in a short tutorial.

» Recommended reading:

Torchiano, M., Méndez Fernández, D., Travassos, G.H., de Mello, R. M. (2017). Lessons Learnt in Conducting Survey Research. In: Proc. 5th International Workshop on Conducting Empirical Studies in Industry (CESI). ICSE 2017.

Available at

https://arxiv.org/abs/1702.05744



Defining Research Objectives

Characterising Target Population

Sampling

Questionnaire Design

Recruiting & Measuring

Survey

Execution

Data Coding & Editing

Post-survey Adjustments

Data Analysis & Interpretation

Packaging & Reporting

Data Curation & Disclosure

Reporting

We concentrate on 4 issues, instead (I personally find highly important)

Defining research objectives

Challenge Know the limitations of survey research

- Survey research opts for answers that rely on experiences, opinions, and observations (folklore) of the respondents
- Respondents' bias is our natural environment
- » Develop internal questions to help you depict the research objective and target population
- » Opt for descriptive questions ("what is happening?") or explanatory questions ("why is this happening?") rather than normative questions ("what should we do?")

Challenge Identify the real target population

- Do not restrict the target population by factors like availability or expected number of responses!
- » Based on the research objectives, answer the question:

"Who can best provide you with the information you need?", not

"Who are probably available to participate?"

Challenge Identify the units of analysis (1/2)

- Individuals? Groups? Teams? Companies?
- For instance, investigating Java developers' programming practice is a research objective different from investigating Company practice for Java programming

Challenge Identify the units of analysis (2/2)



"How is code debugging performed in companies?"





Challenge Characterise the subjects and units of analysis

- Different research objectives may demand different attributes to characterising individuals/ groups of individuals
- » Use standard reference models (e.g. in context of process tailoring):
 - Individuals: experience in the research context, experience in SE, current professional role, location and higher academic degree, ...
 - Project teams: team size, client/product domain (avionics, finance, health, telecommunications, etc.) and physical distribution, ...
 - Organisations: size, industry segment, location, type (government, private company, university, etc.), …

Challenge

Design a clear, simple and consistent survey questionnaire

- Bad questionnaires can lead subjects initially willing to participate to give up!
- » Use simple and appropriate wording for the survey questions
- » Avoid vague sentences
- » Avoid technical terms as much as possible or define them in the questionnaire, according to the survey target population
- » Take preference to design short questions regarding a single concept
- » Avoid double barreled questions

Challenge

Design a clear, simple and consistent survey questionnaire



- d) Partially disagree
- e) Totally disagree

Challenge

Design a clear, simple and consistent survey questionnaire

» Avoid biased questions

Do you prefer working in projects following agile methods or those following <u>usual non-agile</u> approaches?

» Avoid asking about events too far in the past

Considering the main characteristics of the last 10 software projects you have worked on, please answer the following questions:

Challenge

Design a clear, simple and consistent survey questionnaire

 Avoid asking sensitive questions unless you really need to (and if you need to, make explicit why you ask these questions)

What is your <u>gender</u>?

What is your *income*?

What is your <u>age</u>?

...

Challenge

Design a clear, simple and consistent survey questionnaire

» Avoid asking too demanding questions (w.r.t. time, effort)

After <u>reading the attached papers regarding non functional</u> <u>requirements</u> (NFR), please answer the following questions:

 Which of the following NFR <u>do you disagree are not relevant</u> in the context of real-time systems?

Challenge

Design a clear, simple and consistent survey questionnaire

» Select the appropriate response formats and scales



Response formats and scales

	Nominal scale	Ordinal (and "Likert") scales	Interval scale	Free-text responses	Numeric values
Characteristic	Closed questions	 Closed questions Not always equally distributed No distance measure 	 Closed questions Considered equally distributed 	 Open questions Allow "coding" 	 Open questions
Analysis	 Statistical analysis based on frequency 	 Significantly restricts statistical analysis 	 Statistical analysis less restrictive 	 Content analysis High effort on data analysis 	 Allow a wide range of statistical analysis

Challenge

Design a clear, simple and consistent survey questionnaire

- Remember: you have one shot only! (Once you started the survey, there is usually no way back.)
- » Pilot the survey instrument with respondents characteristic for your population!
- » Pilot the data (quantity and quality) by applying the planned data analysis techniques!

Data curation and disclosure

Challenge A survey needs to be reproducible

- Reporting on a survey without background information is asking for too much credit from the reader (and the reviewers)!
- » Report on details of all data collection with a study protocol
- » Disclose the instrument used: Questionnaire, reference documents
- » Disclose the anonymised data and the codebooks
 - » Do not use your institution websites (prone to changes)
 - » Instead, use open repositories like Figshare (providing DOIs)

Besides all methodological issues... there is more.

Every survey needs a proper project plan

- 1. Plan for methodological challenges (</ >
- 2. Find a proper project organisation early
- 3. Set up a proper project infrastructure
- 4. Develop a good project dissemination plan
- 5. Organise an efficient data collection
- 6. Organise an efficient data curation and analysis
- 7. Develop a good packaging and reporting plan

Are there any particular best practices you would like to share?

Further reading

- Torchiano, M., Méndez Fernández, D., Travassos, G.H., de Mello, R. M. (2017). Lessons Learnt in Conducting Survey Research. In: Proc. 5th International Workshop on Conducting Empirical Studies in Industry (CESI). ICSE 2017.
 Available at https://arxiv.org/abs/1702.05744
- Groves, Fowler, Couper, Lepkowski, Singer and Torangeau, (2009). "Survey Methodology – 2nd edition" John Wiley and Sons
- Conradi R., Li J., Slyngstad O. P. N., Kampenes V. B., Bunse C., Morisio M., Torchiano M. (2005). "Reflections on conducting an international survey of CBSE in ICT industry" IEEE 4th International Symposium on Empirical Software Engineering November.
- Linåker, J. Sulaman, S. M., de Mello, R. M. and Höst, M. (2015). Guidelines for Conducting Surveys in Software Engineering. TR 5366801, Lund University Publications. http://lup.lub.lu.se/record/5366801/file/5366839.pdf
- de Mello, R. M. and Travassos, G. H. (2016). Surveys in Software Engineering: Identifying Representative Samples. Proc. of 10th ACM/IEEE ESEM, Ciudad Real.

Outline

- A brief introduction into survey research
- (Selected) Best practices
- Lean coffee

Lean Coffee

1. Collect topics



3. Discuss topics in the group



2. Rank by interest



4. Revise (Continue with B or move on to A?)



Thanks for your participation!

In case of questions, approach me any time!